

## IS EVANS' LONGITUDINAL GHOST FIELD $B^{(3)}$ UNKNOWABLE?

**Akhlesh Lakhtakia**

Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics  
The Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA 16802-1401

Received May 20, 1994; revised July 25, 1994

M.W. Evans has hypothesized every photon to have a longitudinal "ghost" magnetic field and commented extensively on this field, most recently in this journal. It is pointed out that Evans' field—being time-independent and spatially uniform—is unknowable, and thus lies outside the pale of physics.

Key words: longitudinal ghost field, electromagnetism

In a series of papers [1–3], Evans hypothesized every photon to have a longitudinal "ghost" magnetic field and commented thereupon with co-workers [4, 5]. This field was also discussed extensively in two major works by Evans [6, 7]. Although objections of others to Evans' field were published [8–10], and it was pointed out that the angular momentum [11] is a sounder alternative to Evans' hypothetical field, the most recent exposition of his field—in this journal [12]—by Evans has prompted this communication. *It is my contention that Evans' field is unknowable.*

The "ghost" magnetic field in question has been variously denoted as  $B^{(3)}$  and  $B_{\Pi}$  by Evans, and an electric field  $E^{(3)}$  or  $E_{\Pi}$  is similarly possible. Briefly, Evans begins with the free space Maxwell postulates,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad (1b)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (1c)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (1d)$$

and contends that [7, pp. 256-257]

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E_0 (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}) e^{i\omega(t - z/c)} + \mathbf{E}_\Pi, \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B_0 (\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{i}) e^{i\omega(t - z/c)} + \mathbf{B}_\Pi, \quad (2b)$$

are solutions thereof. Here,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ;  $\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  are the unit Cartesian vectors,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency,  $c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$  is the speed of light in free space, while  $E_0$  and  $B_0$  are scalar amplitudes. Evans specifically chooses the first parts on the right sides of Eqs. (2a) and (2b) to represent a circularly polarized plane wave propagating along the Z axis. Then he uses the circularly polarized plane wave to *create* the fields  $\mathbf{E}_\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{B}_\Pi$ .

Let me not worry about the confusion Evans has between real-valued fields and the corresponding complex-valued phasors [9, 10], but concentrate solely on  $\mathbf{E}_\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{B}_\Pi$ . To my knowledge, no *direct* measurements of the "ghost" magnetic field—or the "ghost" electric field—have been reported.

Let me also not worry about the exact mathematical expressions of  $\mathbf{E}_\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{B}_\Pi$ , it being enough to note that Evans [7, p. 256] correctly deduced that:

.....  $\mathbf{E}_\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{B}_\Pi$  are uniform, time-independent, electric and magnetic fields directed in the propagation axis Z of the plane wave.

He then went on to remark in the same publication that:

It appears always to have been implicitly assumed that  $\mathbf{E}_\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{B}_\Pi$  are both zero in free space... There is no mathematical reason for this supposition...

The Maxwell postulates do not involve the electromagnetic field components per se. Even a casual glance at Eqs. (1) reveals that *the Maxwell postulates involve the temporal and the spatial derivatives of the*

*electromagnetic field components*. Thus, a field that does not vary with space and time is a trivial solution of the Maxwell postulates.

More importantly, a field is identified by its spatial and temporal variations. Therefore, a spatially uniform and temporally constant field is unknowable; since its existence cannot be detected, we might as well set it to be null-valued.

An unknowable field is not only ghostly, but also ghastly! Ascribing any phenomenon to unknowable fields is an *act of faith*, and acts of faith lie outside the pale of physics. Therefore, it is incumbent on Evans to answer two simple questions:

1. Can a field that is unchanging in time and unvarying in space ever be detectable?
2. Has he or anyone else actually measured  $E_{\Pi}$  and  $B_{\Pi}$  directly? (Direct measurements are necessary to eliminate false proportionalities [9] that can creep in indirect measurements.)

I trust Evans will give straightforward and unambiguous replies: "yes" or "no" would be satisfactorily concise.

## NOTE

Webster's *Third New International Dictionary of the English Language* (Unabridged, 1976) defines the word *unknowable* as *of a kind that cannot be comprehended*.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. W. Evans, *Physica B* **182**, 227–236 (1992).
- [2] M. W. Evans, *Physica B* **182**, 237–243 (1992).
- [3] M. W. Evans, *Physica B* **183**, 103–107 (1993).
- [4] F. Farahi, Y. Aktas and M. W. Evans, *J. Mol. Structure (Theochem)* **285**, 47–56 (1993).
- [5] M. W. Evans and F. Farahi, *J. Mol. Structure* **300**, 435–443 (1993).
- [6] M. W. Evans, *The Photon's Magnetic Field* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993).
- [7] M. W. Evans, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **85**, Pt. 2, 97–309 (1993).
- [8] L. D. Barron, *Physica B* **190**, 307–309 (1993); see also: M. W. Evans, *Physica B* **190**, 310–313 (1993).
- [9] A. Lakhtakia, *Physica B* **191**, 362–366 (1993).
- [10] D. M. Grimes, *Physica B* **191**, 367–371 (1993).

- [11] E. Santamoto, B. Daino, M. Romagnoli, M. Settembre, and Y. R. Shen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2423–2426 (1986)
- [12] M. W. Evans, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **7**, 67–74 (1994).

## POSTSCRIPT

The reader should note that three more papers by M. W. Evans have appeared in the later issues of Volume 7 of this journal on the ghost field; see also a relevant book review by D. Buckingham in *Science* **266**, 665 (1994).